# **TEEN PARENT PROGRAM**

OUTCOME REPORT (APRIL 2000 – APRIL 2001)

Data Prepared by
Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division
Service Delivery Administration
Michigan Family Independence Agency

January 2003

# TEEN PARENT PROGRAM (TPP) APR00-APR01<sup>1</sup>

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's monitoring of its Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. The TPP operates in 18 counties<sup>2</sup>, including the following: Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne<sup>3</sup>.

## Executive Summary

This document presents information related to the Teen Parent Program for the following three reporting periods: April 2000, October 2000, and April 2001. In terms of the contractual criteria, the program **averaged** the following results over the three reporting periods.

• CRITERION #1: Eighty-five percent (85%) of the teen parents who have not completed high school will attend elementary or secondary school, full-time, or GED classes within four months of entry to the Teen Parent Program.

Over the three reporting periods, the program averaged 74.4%, with an additional average of 7.3% becoming involved in educational activities beyond the fourth month.

• **CRITERION #2:** Seventy percent (70%) of the teen parents will be involved in education or training programs, or will be employed, within four (4) months of program entry.

The program averaged 75.5%, with an additional average of 9.8% becoming involved in such activities beyond the fourth month.

• CRITERION #3: Eighty-five percent (85%) of the teen parents who have completed high school or the equivalent will have attained one or more of the following benchmarks by the third (3<sup>rd</sup>) month of Teen Parent Program entry: entered skills training, completed skills training, entered job search activities, or obtained a job.

The program averaged 55.4%, with an additional average of 18.9% becoming involved in such activities beyond the third month.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data Source: Teen Parent Program Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports for April 2000, October 2000, and April 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is one additional county (Kalamazoo) participating in the program, however, as of the report dates, information for the evaluation had not been submitted.

There are four TPP providers operating in Wayne County.

• **CRITERION #4:** Eighty-five percent (85%) of the participating teen parents who are not pregnant at the time of program entry will not become pregnant within twelve (12) months of program entry.

An average of 89.1% of the teen parents who were not pregnant at the time of program entry did not become pregnant within twelve months of program entry.

• **CRITERION #5**: Ninety percent (90%) of the teen mothers who are pregnant at the time of program entry will participate in prenatal care.

An average of 99.8% of the teen mothers who were pregnant at the time of program entry participated in prenatal care.

• **CRITERION #6**: Seventy-five percent (75%) of the teen parents who are pregnant at the time of program entry will deliver full-term infants.

An average of 93.0% of the teen parents who were pregnant at the time of program entry delivered full-term infants.

• CRITERION #7: Ninety percent (90%) of the teen parent's children/infants<sup>4</sup> will receive thorough medical examinations, well-baby examinations, and immunizations within two (2) months of entry into the Teen Parent Program.

An average of 55.0% of the teens' children/infants started receiving immunizations within two months of program entry, with an additional average of 32.0% starting receipt of said service beyond the second month. Overall, regardless of time frame, an average of 87.0% of the teens' children/infants was receiving immunizations.

An average of 52.5% of the teens' children/infants started receiving well-baby/EPSDT examinations within two months of program entry, with an additional average of 31.2% starting receipt of said service beyond the second month. Overall, regardless of time frame, an average of 83.7% of the teens' children/infants was receiving well-baby/EPSDT examinations.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CRITERION #7: Data collection regarding immunizations and Well-Baby/EPSDT participation focused on the youngest child in the family.

• CRITERION #8: Eighty percent (80%) of the teen parents participating in the Teen Parent Program and eligible for Infant Support Services (ISS) will also participate in ISS.

An average of 67.1% of the teens eligible for ISS participated therein. Meanwhile, an average of 5.3% failed to participate in ISS due to factors beyond their control, and an average of 9.9% refused to participate in ISS.

• **CRITERION #9:** Ninety percent (90%) of the teen parents will not have a substantiated child abuse or neglect finding for one (1) year from date of entry into the program.

Overall<sup>5</sup>, 95.8% of the teen parents did not have a substantiated child abuse or neglect finding for one year from date of entry into the program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> CRITERION #9: Data related to this criterion were examined in the aggregate (i.e., the three cohorts/reporting periods were not examined individually).

This document presents information related to the Teen Parent Program for the following three reporting periods: April 2000, October 2000, and April 2001. Section I of this document presents information related to the desired outcomes of the program as presented in the contract. Section II provides further detail regarding the educational pursuits of the participants. Section III focuses on other support services provided, either directly or indirectly, by the teen parent provider agencies. Finally, Section IV examines the reasons behind case closures.

### SECTION I: CONTRACTUAL CRITERIA

General findings with respect to each of nine contractual criteria are presented below for each of the aforementioned three reporting cohorts<sup>6</sup>. These nine criteria address such items as self-sufficiency, pregnancy-related concerns, and health issues.

#### A. SELF-SUFFICIENCY

<u>CRITERION #1</u>: Eighty-five percent (85%) of the teen parents who have not completed high school will attend elementary or secondary school, full-time, or GED classes within four months of entry to the Teen Parent Program.

Report Month / Year <sup>7</sup>	Number who have not completed high	Involvement in Educational Activity AT INTAKE or WITHIN Four Months		Involvement in Educational Activity BEYOND Four Months	
	school	N	%	N	%
APR00	1196	867	72.5	87	7.3
OCT00	1118	830	74.2	78	7.0
APR01	989	756	76.4	74	7.5

 This criterion serves as a simple "point in time" measure of the number of teens enrolled in elementary or secondary school (or GED training) within four months of entering the program. It does not address the issue of consistency in enrollment. Indeed, many of the teens experience numerous stops and starts when it comes to school or GED training. The issue of continuity in enrollment is addressed further in Section II of this document.

<sup>7</sup> CRITERION #1: The Apr 01 cohort had two additional individuals involved in an activity, however, the time frame was indeterminate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Note: Analysis for Criterion #9 was taken in the aggregate (i.e., the three cohorts/reporting periods were not examined individually).

<u>CRITERION #2</u>: Seventy percent (70%) of the teen parents will be involved in education or training programs, or will be employed, within four (4) months of program entry.

Report Month / Year <sup>8</sup>	Number of TPP Participants	Involvem Educational/Traini Activity AT INTA Four Mo	ng/Employment <b>KE or WITHIN</b>	Involvement in Educational/Training/Employment Activity <b>BEYOND</b> Four Months		
		N %		N	%	
APR00	1317	971	73.7	133	10.1	
OCT00	1243	937	75.4	116	9.3	
APR01	1098	850	77.4	111	10.1	

- The first occurring activity (either at or following program intake) was used for the analysis of this criterion.
- When a participant was involved in more than one activity simultaneously, the following order of priority was established: educational activity (i.e., completion of high school and/or GED attainment and/or college), followed by employment and training.

<u>CRITERION #3</u>: Eighty-five percent (85%) of the teen parents who have completed high school or the equivalent will have attained one or more of the following benchmarks by the third (3<sup>rd</sup>) month of Teen Parent Program entry: entered skills training, completed skills training, entered job search activities, or obtained a job.

Report Month / Year <sup>9</sup>	Number of HS graduates or GED holders at entry	Involvement in Training Activity or Employment AT INTAKE or WITHIN Three Months		Involvement in Training Activity or Employment BEYOND Three Months		
		N	%	Ν	%	
APR00	93	50	53.8	21	22.6	
OCT00	86	46	53.5	15	17.4	
APR01	78	46	59.0	13	16.7	

<sup>9</sup> CRITERION #3: The Apr00 cohort had three additional individuals involved in an activity, however, the time frame was indeterminate. Similarly, the Oct00 cohort had one such individual, and the Apr01 cohort had five.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> CRITERION #2: The Apr00 cohort had ten additional individuals involved in an activity, however, the time frame was indeterminate. Similarly, the Oct00 cohort had seven such individuals, and the Apr01 cohort had eleven.

#### B. PREGNANCY-RELATED CONCERNS

<u>CRITERION #4</u>: Eighty-five percent (85%) of the participating teen parents who are not pregnant at the time of program entry will not become pregnant within twelve (12) months of program entry.

Report Month/Year	Number <b>NOT</b> pregnant at	Did <b>NOT</b> experienc within 12 months	e repeat pregnancy of program entry
	program entry	N	%
APR00	624	554	88.8
OCT00	578	515	89.1
APR01	533	479	89.9

- Removing the twelve month time frame from the analysis reveals the following repeat pregnancy percentages for those who were **NOT** pregnant at intake: Apr00 –14.6%; Oct00 – 13.8%; and Apr01 – 12.8%.
- Meanwhile, further analysis of those who were pregnant at intake reveals the following repeat pregnancy percentages, regardless of twelve month time frame: Apr00 – 12.3%; Oct00 – 11.8%; and Apr01 – 13.0%.
- The overall repeat pregnancy percentages (regardless of pregnancy status at intake and regardless of twelve month time frame) were as follows: Apr00 – 13.1%; Oct00 – 12.4%; and Apr01 – 12.5%.
- It should be noted that, in terms of statewide data <sup>10</sup>, 27.4% of live births occurring in 2000, to mothers age 15-20, were subsequent births.

# <u>CRITERION #5</u>: Ninety percent (90%) of the teen mothers who are pregnant at the time of program entry will participate in prenatal care.

Report	Number pregnant	Participation in Prenatal Care <sup>11</sup>		
Month/Year	at program entry	N	%	
APR00	665	651	99.7	
OCT00	627	617	99.8	
APR01	531	527	99.8	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 10}$  Department of Community Health, Division for Vital Records and Health Statistics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> CRITERION #5: Each cohort had a number of cases missing prenatal information: Apr00 – 12 cases, Oct00 – 9 cases, and Apr01 – 3 cases.

# <u>CRITERION #6</u>: Seventy-five percent (75%) of the teen parents who are pregnant at the time of program entry will deliver full-term infants.

Report Month/Year	Number pregnant at program entry	Delivery of Full-Term Infants		
WORTH / Tear	and giving birth by report Month/Yr	N	%	
APR00	541	501	92.6	
OCT00	499	468	93.8	
APR01	430	398	92.6	

# C. HEALTH ISSUES

<u>CRITERION #7</u>: Ninety percent (90%) of the teen parent's children/infants<sup>12</sup> will receive thorough medical examinations, well-baby examinations, and immunizations within two (2) months of entry into the Teen Parent Program.

#### 1. Immunizations:

Report Month/Year	Number Eligible for Immunizations	Receipt of Immunizations AT INTAKE or WITHIN Two Months of Program Entry		Receipt of Immunizations <b>BEYOND</b> Two Months of Program Entry		
		N	%	N	%	
APR00	1165	637	54.7	387	33.2	
OCT00	1102	579	52.5	362	32.8	
APR01	974	563	57.8	291	29.9	

- Attaching a time frame to receipt of immunizations may not be the most efficient measure, as immunizations often coincide with the birth of the baby, which may or may not coincide with a teen's entry into the program. As such, removing the two-month time frame from the analysis (i.e., including those who became involved in the service beyond the two-month mark) reveals the following participation percentages amongst those eligible for the service: Apr00 87.9%; Oct00 85.4%; and Apr01 87.7%.
- In addition, each cohort included the following:
  - Apr00 Cohort: 39 cases (3.3%) were referrals only, and four cases (0.3%) refused the service. Meanwhile, 8.4% (98) of the eligible participants reported no activity.
  - Oct00 Cohort: 45 cases (4.1%) were referrals only, and ten cases (0.9%) refused the service. Meanwhile, 9.6% (106) of the eligible participants reported no activity.

<sup>12</sup> CRITERION #7: Data collection regarding immunizations and Well-Baby/EPSDT participation focused on the youngest child in the family.

• Apr01 Cohort: 65 cases (6.7%) were referrals only, three cases (0.3%) refused the service, and three cases (0.3%) failed to participate due to factors beyond their control. Meanwhile, 5.0% (49) of the eligible participants reported no activity.

# 2. Well-Baby/EPSDT:

Report Month/Year	Number Eligible for Well-Baby or EPSDT	Receipt of Service AT INTAKE or WITHIN Two Months of Program Entry		Receipt of Service BEYOND Two Months of Program Entry	
		N	%	N	%
APR00	1143	615	53.8	369	32.3
OCT00	1076	552	51.3	323	30.0
APR01	943	495	52.5	295	31.3

- With respect to EPSDT, a number of counties no longer have access to this service.
- Meanwhile, with respect to Well-Baby, many of the teen parent providers have asserted that, while they are able to make referrals, they often have a difficult time accessing HMO's for information regarding actual appointments.
- In addition, each cohort included the following:
  - Apr00 Cohort: 42 cases (3.7%) were referrals only, and four cases (0.3%) refused the service. Meanwhile, 9.9% (113) of the eligible participants reported no activity.
  - Oct00 Cohort: 82 cases (7.6%) were referrals only, and eleven cases (1.0%) refused the service. Meanwhile, 10.0% (108) of the eligible participants reported no activity.
  - Apr01 Cohort: 81 cases (8.6%) were referrals only, five cases (0.5%) failed to participate due to factors beyond their control, and five cases (0.5%) refused the service. Meanwhile, 6.6% (62) of the eligible participants reported no activity.

# <u>CRITERION #8</u>: Eighty percent (80%) of the teen parents participating in the Teen Parent Program and eligible for Infant Support Services (ISS) will also participate in ISS.

Report Month/Year	Number Eligible for ISS	Participating in ISS		
World / Tear	101 100	N	%	
APR00	804	558	69.4	
OCT00	779	514	66.0	
APR01	589	388	65.9	

- Each of the three cohorts had a number of individuals who refused to participate in ISS: Apr00 – 76 (9.5%); Oct00 – 75 (9.6%); and Apr01 – 92 (10.5%).
- Each of the three cohorts had a number of individuals who failed to participate in ISS due to factors beyond their control: Apr00 48 (6.0%); Oct00 43 (5.5%); and Apr01 26 (4.4%).
- Examples of failing to participate due to factors beyond the client's control include the following:
  - difficulties with HMO's (e.g., Wellness Plan denied approval for continuation of ISS);
  - TPP case terminated before ISS worker was assigned;
  - no Medicaid, therefore, no ISS;
  - no insurance;
  - no ISS available in the county; and
  - client works with public health nurse (rather than ISS).

# <u>CRITERION #9</u>: Ninety percent (90%) of the teen parents will not have a substantiated child abuse or neglect finding for one (1) year from date of entry into the program.

A total of 1,836 valid recipient IDs were obtained from the FIA data warehouse. In turn, these IDs were used to acquire information related to Protective Services (PS).

• Of those 1,836 recipients, 1,705 or 92.9% did not have a substantiated abuse/neglect finding within one year of entering the program.

Protective Services Contact Within One Year of TPP Entry							
Number of	No Protective Referral to		Substantiation				
Participants with Valid Recipient IDs	Services	Contact	Protective Services (with no substantiation)				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
1836	1353	73.7	352	19.2	131	7.1	

- 131 or 7.1% of the teen parents did have a substantiated abuse/neglect finding within one year of entering the program.
  - Further analysis of those 131 substantiated cases reveals that 36 (27.5%) were victims, 77 (58.8%) were perpetrators, and 32 (24.4%) were uninvolved in the substantiated case<sup>13</sup> (i.e., they were neither a perpetrator nor a victim in the substantiated case).
  - The 77 perpetrators represent 4.2% of the population under study. Thus, in all actuality, **95.8% did not** experience a substantiated abuse/neglect finding, as a perpetrator, within one year of program entry.
- The 1,353 participants who did not have protective services contact within one year of entering the Teen Parent Program includes 486 who have never had contact with PS, and 867 who had contact that occurred outside of the one year time frame.
  - Note: The 486 who have never had contact with Protective Services represent 26.5% of the population under study.

# 1. PS Contact Prior to TPP Entry

Additional examination of the historical data revealed that a number of participants had a history of contact with Protective Services **prior** to entering the Teen Parent Program.

• Specifically, of the 1,836 recipients used in the analysis, 738 (40.2%) had a substantiated abuse or neglect finding prior to program entry.

Protective Services Contact <b>Prior</b> to TPP Entry							
Number of Participants with Valid Recipient IDs	No Protective Services Contact		Referral to Protective Services (with no substantiation)		Substantiation		
•	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	
1836	486	26.5	1092	59.5	738	40.2	

- Further analysis of those 738 substantiated cases reveals that 585 (79.3%) were victims, 76 (10.3%) were perpetrators, and 281 (38.1%) were uninvolved in the substantiated case.
- Note: Given the historical nature of this portion of the analysis, a
  participant may have experienced both a "referral (with no substantiation)"
  and a "substantiation."

<sup>13</sup>Note: the total does not equal 100.0% due to the occurrence of multiple incidents (e.g., a teen parent participant may have been involved in more than one incident, taking on more than one role). This holds true for subsequent discussions of "role" (i.e., discussions associated with the historical analysis and the analysis focusing on one year after TPP enrollment).

# 2. Beyond the One-Year Mark

Meanwhile, further examination of the data reveals that 8.3% (152) of the participants experienced a substantiated abuse/neglect finding **beyond** the one-year mark in the program.

Protective Services Contact <b>Beyond</b> One Year of TPP Entry							
Number of	No Protective		Referral to		Substantiation		
Participants with Valid Recipient IDs	Services Contact		Protective Services (with no substantiation)				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
1836	1205	65.6	479	26.1	152	8.3	

- Further analysis of those 152 substantiated cases revealed that 17 (11.2%) were victims, 115 (75.7%) were perpetrators, and 35 (23.0%) were uninvolved in the substantiated case.
- The 1,205 participants who did not have protective services contact after one
  year of entering the Teen Parent Program includes the 486 who have never
  had contact with PS, as well as 719 who had contact that occurred outside of
  the time frame being analyzed.

# SECTION II: EDUCATIONAL PURSUITS IN FURTHER DETAIL

Closer examination of the program participants based on their educational status at intake is presented below. This discussion attempts to provide an indication of the level of continuity that exists with respect to the educational pursuits of the teens.

#### A. EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE: DROP OUT

Report Mo/Yr	Number of TPP Participants	Number Missing Educational	Sta	cational atus at ce: Drop	Sc	olled in hool at ort Date	Scl	nrolled in nool at ort Date	
	Fartiopants	Status		Out	·		·		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	
Apr00	1317	28	461	35.8	123	27.0	332	73.0	
Oct00	1243	39	415	34.5	123	30.2	284	69.8	
Apr01	1098	31	340	31.9	102	30.1	237	69.9	

- Approximately one-third of the participants in each of the three cohorts (an average of 34.0%) reportedly were not engaged in an educational activity at the time they entered the teen parent program.
- By each of the three reporting periods, approximately 30.0% of that "drop out" group (an average of 29.1%) was reportedly enrolled in school.

Report Mo/Yr	Educational Status at Intake: Drop Out	Enrolled in School at Report Date <sup>14</sup>	Enrollment was Continuous		Not Enrolled in School at Report Date <sup>15</sup>	be e dip	Enrolled ecause arned loma or GED	Not Enrolled because of barriers beyond the client's control		
		N	N	%	N	N	%	N	%	
Apr00	461	123	76 66.1		332	14	4.4	33	10.4	
Oct00	415	123	80 69.6		284	11 4.0		27	9.8	
Apr01	340	102	66 64.7		237	6 2.6		24	10.3	

- Furthermore, for approximately two-thirds of those "re-enrolled" teens (i.e., an average of 66.8%), their enrollment was continuous (i.e., no excessive breaks/absences).
- Of those not enrolled at intake or at the report date(s), an average of 10.2% cited barriers to enrollment which were beyond their control. In general terms, these reported barriers, presented here and in subsequent tables in

13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Both the Apr00 and the Oct00 cohorts were each missing information about continuity of enrollment for eight cases.

Each cohort had a number of cases that were missing a reason for not being enrolled: Apr00 – sixteen cases, Oct00 – eight cases, and Apr01 – five cases.

Section II, concern such things as transportation, child care, lack of familial support, housing issues, and medical issues. More specifically, some of the identified barriers were as follows:

- lack of transportation;
- lack of child care;
- unstable housing/homelessness;
- high risk pregnancy (home bound; doctor ordered bed rest);
- not age appropriate for GED study/testing;
- lives in a rural community that does not have a GED program;
- not allowed to return to school (due to age; until immunizations up to date; lost medical records);
- conflicts at home/family problems;
- health problems (of teen, teen's child and/or other family members);
- required/needs to work (Work First; need to support family; work schedule does not permit school);
- too late to re-enroll in school;
- cannot find special education program to accommodate the teen;
- participant incarcerated;
- participant's mother sees no reason for teen to go to school;
- participant does not live with parents and needs parental permission to enroll in school; and
- school refuses to enroll teen because of her age and special education needs.

### B. EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE: ENROLLED IN SCHOOL

Report	Number of	Number	Edu	cational	Enr	olled at	Not E	Enrolled
Mo/Yr	TPP	Missing	Sta	atus at	Rep	ort Date	at Re	port Date
	Participants	Educational		ıtake:				
		Status	Enr	olled in				
			S	chool				
			N %		N	%	N	%
Apr00	1317	28	664	51.5	430	66.2	220	33.8
Oct00	1243	39	647 53.7		422	67.0	208	33.0
Oct01	1098	31	588	55.1	398	68.7	181	31.3

- Approximately one-half of the program participants in each cohort (i.e., an average of 53.4%) were enrolled in school at the time of program entry.
- Roughly two-thirds of the participants who were enrolled at intake were still
  enrolled in school as of each of the report dates, with the overwhelming
  majority of them experiencing continuous enrollment (averaging 84.2%).

Report	Educational	Enrolled	Enro	ollment	Not	Not	Enrolled	No	t Enrolled
Mo/Yr	Status at	at	V	was	Enrolled	be	cause	be	ecause of
	Intake:	Report	Continuous		at	e	arned	barri	ers beyond
	Enrolled in	Date <sup>16</sup>			Report	dip	loma or	the client's contro	
	School				Date <sup>17</sup>	GED			
		N	N	%	N	N	%	N	%
Apr00	664	430	354	82.7	220	63	29.3	23	10.7
Oct00	647	422	347	83.4	208	101 49.3		19	9.3
Apr01	588	398	344 86.4		181	64 36.8		18	10.3

 Of those participants who were enrolled in school at program entry but not enrolled as of the subsequent reporting period(s), an average of 38.5% were not enrolled because they had earned their high school diploma or GED certificate.

# C. EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE: GED TRAINING

Report	Number of	Number	Edu	cational		olled at	Not E	nrolled at
Mo/Yr	TPP	Missing	Sta	atus at	Repo	rt Date 18	Rep	ort Date
	Participants	Educational	In	ıtake:				
		Status	Enr	olled in				
			GED	Training				
			N %		N	%	N	%
Apr00	1317	28	19	1.5	6	33.3	12	66.7
Oct00	1243	39	15 1.2		5	35.7	9	64.3
Apr01	1098	31	16	1.5	4	26.7	11	73.3

 Only a small percentage of the participants in each of the cohorts were identified as being enrolled in GED training at the time of program entry, with an average of 31.9% of those still enrolled as of the report date(s).

Report	Educational	Enrolled	Enro	ollment	Not	Not	Enrolled	No	ot Enrolled	
Mo/Yr	Status at	at	٧	vas	Enrolled	be	ecause	b	ecause of	
	Intake:	Report Continuous			at	e	arned	barr	iers beyond	
	Enrolled in	•			Report	dip	loma or	the client's		
	GED				Date		GED		control	
	Training	Ν	N	%	N	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Apr00	19	6	6	100.0	12	4	33.3	1	8.3	
Oct00	15	5 4		80.0	9	1	11.1	1	11.1	
Apr01	16	4	3	75.0	11	5	45.5	1	9.1	

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  The Apr00 cohort was missing information about continuity of enrollment for two cases. Meanwhile, the Oct00 cohort was missing such information for six cases.

<sup>17</sup> Each cohort had a number of cases that were missing a reason for not being enrolled: Apr00 – five cases, Oct00 – three cases, and Apr01 – seven cases.

Each of the three cohorts was missing enrollment information for one case.

- Meanwhile, depending on which cohort is examined, three-fourths or more of the individuals who were enrolled in GED training both at intake and at report date experienced continuous enrollment (i.e., an average of 85.0%).
- The percentage of individuals who were not enrolled at the report date because they earned a GED varied considerably from 11.1% (Oct00) to 45.5% (Apr01) and averaged 30.0% across the three cohorts.

# D. EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE: ENROLLED IN SCHOOL AND GED TRAINING

Report	Number of	Number	Edu	cational	Enr	olled at	Not E	nrolled at
Mo/Yr	TPP	Missing		atus at	Rep	ort Date	Rep	ort Date
	Participants	Educational	ln	take:				
		Status	Enr	olled in				
			School	ol & GED				
			Tra	aining				
			N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr00	1317	28	29 2.2		21	72.4	8	27.6
Oct00	1243	39	25 2.1		15	60.0	10	40.0
Apr01	1098	31	33	3.1	24	72.7	9	27.3

- A small percentage of individuals in each cohort were reportedly enrolled in both school and GED training, ranging from 2.1% (Oct00) to 3.1% (Apr01) and averaging 2.5%.
- Of this dually enrolled group, an average of 68.3% was still enrolled as of the report date(s).

Report	Educational	Enrolled	Enro	ollment	Not	Not Er	rolled	No	t Enrolled	
Mo/Yr	Status at	at	٧	vas	Enrolled	because	earned	be	ecause of	
	Intake:	Report Continuous			at	diploma	or GED	barri	ers beyond	
	Enrolled in	Date <sup>19</sup>			Report			the client's control		
	School &				Date					
	GED Training	g N N %		%	N	N	%	N	%	
Apr00	29	21	13	61.9	8	2	25.0	3	37.5	
Oct00	25	15 7		50.0	10	4	40.0	1	10.0	
Apr01	33	24 16 66.7		66.7	9	3 33.3		1	11.1	

- Of those enrolled at the report date(s), the percentage experiencing continuous enrollment ranged from 50.0% (Oct00) to 66.7% (Apr01) and averaged 59.5%.
- The percentage of individuals who were not enrolled at the report date because they earned either a diploma or a GED varied from 25.0% (Apr00) to 40.0% (Oct00) and averaged 32.8%.

The Oct00 cohort was missing information about continuity of enrollment for one case.

# E. EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE OF THOSE EMPLOYED AT REPORT DATE

Report	Number in	Number	Nur	nber	N	lot	Enrolled in		HS		Attending		Other <sup>20</sup>	
Mo/Yr	Program	Missing	Emp	loyed		olled	Sch	nool	Graduate		College			
		Job	at Report		in S	chool	and	:l/or	or (	3ED				
		Status	Date					ΞD	Holder					
							Trai	ning						
		N	N %		Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Apr00	1317	90	366 29.8		111	30.3	208	56.8	36	9.8	7	1.9	4	1.1
Oct00	1243	81	354 30.5		99	28.0	212	59.9	28	7.9	10	2.8	5	1.4
Apr01	1098	52	315 30.1		86	27.3	185	58.7	30	9.5	5	1.6	6	1.9

- For each cohort, over one-quarter of the individuals (i.e., an average of 30.1%) were employed as of the report date.
- For each cohort, less than one-third of the individuals employed (i.e., an average of 28.5%) were not enrolled in school.
- For each cohort, over 50.0% of those employed (i.e., an average of 60.6%) were also enrolled in school and/or GED Training or attending college.
- Less than 10.0% of those employed in each cohort were high school graduates or GED holders.

Other educational activity includes such things as correspondence school and home school.

# **SECTION III: SUPPORT SERVICES**

The teen parent provider agencies provide a number of additional support services to the program participants. These services were identified as being delivered in one of six ways: directly by the TPP agency, by sub-contract, by way of referral, or by some combination of the aforementioned.

In terms of **direct** service provision, the TPP agencies provide an average of 80.0% or more of the following services:

- Support Groups (with an average across the three reporting periods of 92.1% of support group services being provided directly by the agency).
- Emergency Services/24-Hour Crisis Intervention (averaging 83.3%).
- Transportation (averaging 83.3%).

					Chi	ld Bir	th / Pre	enatal	Classe	s					
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Number Receiving Service		TPP	Agency	Sub-Contract Referral						Sub-Contract & Referral			
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	331	25.1%	137	41.4%	3	0.9%	142	42.9%	8	2.4%	39	11.8%	2	0.6%
Oct-00	1243	342	27.5%	172	50.3%	0	0.0%	130	38.0%	6	1.8%	34	9.9%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1 1098 246 22.4% 110 44.7%					3	1.2%	109	44.3%	3	1.2%	21	8.5%	0	0.0%

							Child (	Care							
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	Number TPP Agency Receiving Service		Sub-0	Sub-Contract		Referral		gency & Contract	TPP Agency & Referral		Sub-Contract & Referral		
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	356	27.0%	43	12.1%	1	0.3%	299	84.0%	2	0.6%	9	2.5%	2	0.6%
Oct-00	1243	358	28.8%	66	18.4%	1	0.3%	282	78.8%	3	0.8%	6	1.7%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	300	27.3%	36	12.0%	1	0.3%	254	84.7%	1	0.3%	8	2.7%	0	0.0%

				Emer	gency S	ervic	es / 24-	Hour	Crisis I	nterve	ntion				
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Sub-Contract Referral							Contract Referral	
		Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	767	58.2%	654	85.3%	1	0.1%	40	5.2%	33	4.3%	39	5.1%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	733	59.0%	613	83.6%	0	0.0%	47	6.4%	40	5.5%	33	4.5%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	81.0%	0	0.0%	30	5.0%	35	5.8%	49	8.2%	0	0.0%			

						Fa	mily Pl	annin	ıg						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract teferral
		N	%	N			%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	911	69.2%	375	41.2%	2	0.2%	336	36.9%	3	0.3%	194	21.3%	1	0.1%
Oct-00	1243	878	70.6%	370	42.1%	4	0.5%	320	36.4%	6	0.7%	177	20.2%	1	0.1%
Apr-01	1098	715	65.1%	290	40.6%	0	0.0%	300	42.0%	10	1.4%	114	15.9%	1	0.1%

							Food E	Bank							
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	TPP Agency  N %		Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract teferral
		N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	386	29.3%	228	59.1%	1	0.3%	104	26.9%	2	0.5%	50	13.0%	1	0.3%
Oct-00	1243	355	28.6%	238	67.0%	0	0.0%	94	26.5%	0	0.0%	23	6.5%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	270	24.6%	165	61.1%	0	0.0%	84	31.1%	1	0.4%	20	7.4%	0	0.0%

						Н	ousing	Searc	h						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract eferral
	_	N	%	N	/*		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%
Apr-00	1317	505	38.3%	292	57.8%	0	0.0%	148	29.3%	0	0.0%	65	12.9%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	472	38.0%	285	60.4%	0	0.0%	154	32.6%	0	0.0%	32	6.8%	1	0.2%
Apr-01	1098	421	38.3%	245	58.2%	0	0.0%	129	30.6%	2	0.5%	45	10.7%	0	0.0%

						Le	gal Ass	istan	се						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract teferral
		N	%	N %		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	168	12.8%	26	15.5%	0	0.0%	122	72.6%	8	4.8%	11	6.5%	1	0.6%
Oct-00	1243	201	16.2%	30	14.9%	0	0.0%	145	72.1%	5	2.5%	12	6.0%	9	4.5%
Apr-01	1098	149	13.6%	19	12.8%	0	0.0%	119	79.9%	0	0.0%	11	7.4%	0	0.0%

			Materna	al Sup	port Se	rvices	s (MSS)	/ Infa	nt Sup	ort Se	ervices	(ISS)			
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Number Receiving Service TPP Agency N % N %				Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract eferral
		N	%	N	,,		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ζ	%
Apr-00	1317	721	54.7%	226	31.3%	3	0.4%	272	37.7%	15	2.1%	204	28.3%	1	0.1%
Oct-00	1243	685	55.1%	216	31.5%	5	0.7%	270	39.4%	16	2.3%	173	25.3%	5	0.7%
Apr-01	1098	521	47.4%	141	27.1%	1	0.2%	185	35.5%	4	0.8%	181	34.7%	9	1.7%

					IV	lental	Health	Coun	seling						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract teferral
		N	%	N	N %		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	351	26.7%	157	44.7%	1	0.3%	165	47.0%	4	1.1%	24	6.8%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	352	28.3%	142	40.3%	1	0.3%	177	50.3%	13	3.7%	17	4.8%	2	0.6%
Apr-01	1098	287	26.1%	168	58.5%	1	0.3%	91	31.7%	4	1.4%	20	7.0%	3	1.0%

						Nu	itrition	Class	es						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract Referral
		N	%	N	,,		%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%
Apr-00	1317	545	41.4%	241	44.2%	2	0.4%	123	22.6%	16	2.9%	163	29.9%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	513	41.3%	241     44.2%       284     55.4%		2	0.4%	113	22.0%	4	0.8%	110	21.4%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	373	34.0%	211	56.6%	1	0.3%	63	16.9%	3	0.8%	95	25.5%	0	0.0%

						Pai	renting	Class	ses						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract teferral
		N	%	N %		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	782	59.4%	509	65.1%	0	0.0%	75	9.6%	19	2.4%	179	22.9%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	699	56.2%	492			0.0%	58	8.3%	10	1.4%	139	19.9%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	604	55.0%	428	70.9%	0	0.0%	47	7.8%	7	1.2%	122	20.2%	0	0.0%

					Sı	ubsta	nce Ab	use S	ervices	;					
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Number Receiving Service TPP Agency N % N %				Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract teferral
		N	%	N	,,		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	178	13.5%	94	52.8%	0	0.0%	68	38.2%	1	0.6%	14	7.9%	1	0.6%
Oct-00	1243	194	15.6%	99	51.0%	0	0.0%	80	41.2%	1	0.5%	14	7.2%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	124	11.3%	89	71.8%	0	0.0%	26	21.0%	0	0.0%	9	7.3%	0	0.0%

						Sı	upport (	Group	s						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & contract		Agency & eferral		Contract Referral
		N	%	N %		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	573	43.5%	530	92.5%	0	0.0%	30	5.2%	3	0.5%	10	1.7%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	547	44.0%	499 91.2%		1	0.2%	28	5.1%	2	0.4%	17	3.1%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	496	45.2%	460	92.7%	1	0.2%	19	3.8%	6	1.2%	10	2.0%	0	0.0%

						Tran	sitiona	Hou	sing						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Rec	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract Referral
		N	%	N	N %		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	85	6.5%	31	36.5%	1	1.2%	50	58.8%	0	0.0%	3	3.5%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	116	9.3%	36	31.0%	2	1.7%	75	64.7%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	1	0.9%
Apr-01	1098	66	6.0%	25	37.9%	2	3.0%	38	57.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%

						Т	ranspo	rtatio	1						
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Red	imber ceiving ervice	TPP	Agency	Sub-0	Contract	Re	ferral		gency & Contract		Agency & eferral		Contract eferral
	_	N	%	N	,,		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%
Apr-00	1317	954	72.4%	790	82.8%	2	0.2%	53	5.6%	11	1.2%	98	10.3%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	924	74.3%	790   82.8%   751   81.3%		0	0.0%	32	3.5%	12	1.3%	128	13.9%	1	0.1%
Apr-01	1098	804	73.2%	690	85.8%	1	0.1%	26	3.2%	6	0.7%	81	10.1%	0	0.0%

Teen Father Services															
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Number Receiving Service		TPP Agency		Sub-Contract		Referral		TPP Agency & Sub-Contract		TPP Agency & Referral		Sub-Contract & Referral	
		N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	289	21.9%	158	54.7%	1	0.3%	101	34.9%	2	0.7%	27	9.3%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	298	24.0%	142	47.7%	2	0.7%	123	41.3%	5	1.7%	26	8.7%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	230	20.9%	122	53.0%	0	0.0%	72	31.3%	0	0.0%	36	15.7%	0	0.0%

Volunteers / Mentors															
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Receiving Service		TPP Agency		Sub-Contract		Referral		TPP Agency & Sub-Contract		TPP Agency & Referral		Sub-Contract & Referral	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	289	21.9%	239	82.7%	0	0.0%	45	15.6%	1	0.3%	4	1.4%	0	0.0%
Oct-00	1243	258	20.8%	218	84.5%	0	0.0%	37	14.3%	1	0.4%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	170	15.5%	92	54.1%	3	1.8%	53	31.2%	19	11.2%	0	0.0%	3	1.8%

Other Support Services															
Report Mo/Yr	Number in Teen Parent Program	Number Receiving Service		TPP Agency		Sub-Contract		Referral		TPP Agency & Sub-Contract		TPP Agency & Referral		Sub-Contract & Referral	
		N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Apr-00	1317	521	39.6%	408	78.3%	0	0.0%	79	15.2%	0	0.0%	33	6.3%	1	0.2%
Oct-00	1243	520	41.8%	390	75.0%	0	0.0%	95	18.3%	0	0.0%	35	6.7%	0	0.0%
Apr-01	1098	489	44.5%	363	74.2%	1	0.2%	87	17.8%	0	0.0%	38	7.8%	0	0.0%

## Other support services include the following:

- 1. Material Assistance: baby items (clothes, furniture, diapers, food, etc.), children's items (clothes, Toys for Tots, etc.), household items (food, groceries, etc.), clothing/clothing bank, Christmas gifts, furniture/appliances, parenting articles/magazine subscriptions, housing related issues (security deposit, help with rent arrearages, first month's rent, locks, heater, utilities, etc.), and incentive store.
- 2. Medical Related: student health center, insurance, infant mental health, first aid kit, dental services, MI Child, cancer support group, Children's Special Health Care Services, Healthy Kids, Well-Baby Clinic, public health nurse, WIC, and referral to Healthy Family America.
- 3. Education/Training Related: Denver test for infant, Early-On, Infant Readiness Kit, Headstart, Evenstart, Parent-Child Home Program, life skills training, child development, cooking classes, Youth at Risk, job readiness, language assistance/speech pathology, Day One Program, budgeting classes, and tutoring.
- 4. Community Resources/Groups: "Rite of Passage" Group, play group, Neighborhood Renewal Services, Total Life Center, New Hope Center, Good Neighbor Mission, domestic violence counseling, anger management, Children's Protective Services, Families First, HUD, SSI, legal aide, and Women's Aide Services.
- 5. Other Services: runaway services, court, liaison (with FIA, housing commission, landlord, Families First), paternity testing, substance abuse counseling, adoptive services, bereavement services, and document acquisition (i.e., birth certificate and state ID).

# SECTION IV: TERMINATION FROM PROGRAM

Reasons for case closure were obtained from a multiple response question in which up to three possible explanations could be cited. The results are shown below.

Reason for Termination	AF	PR00	00	CT00	APR01		
	503	cases	507	cases	443 cases		
	cl	osed	clo	osed	closed		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Client quit	90	17.9	108	21.3	89	20.1	
Inactivity on behalf of client	137	27.2	186	36.7	167	37.7	
Client's goals and objectives were	133	26.4	129	25.4	89	20.1	
attained							
Client no longer eligible due to age	64	12.7	72	14.2	71	16.0	
Client moved out of service area	90	17.9	70	13.8	69	15.6	
Other	71	14.1	61	12.0	38	8.6	
Totals <sup>21</sup>	585	116.2	626	123.4	523	118.1	

- The client's goals and objectives were attained in approximately one-quarter of the cases closed (i.e., an average of 24.0% across the three reporting periods).
- Given that the Teen Parent Program is, for the most part, a voluntary program<sup>22</sup>, it is not surprising to learn that an average of 53.6% of the cases across the three reporting periods were closed either because the client quit or because of inactivity on behalf of the client.
- An average of 30.1% of the closed cases, across the three reporting periods, were closed either because of "aging out" of the program or moving out of the service area.
- The "other" response, which was selected in an average of 11.6% of the closed cases, included such reasons for closure as the following:
  - 1. Client incarcerated.
  - 2. Client no longer parenting (e.g., gave custody of baby to relative; child placed in foster care; child up for adoption; baby passed away; miscarriage; male client found out he was not father of the baby).
  - 3. Client's parent objects to program participation.
  - 4. Client no longer receiving assistance (FIP); FIA case closed.
  - 5. Client not TANF eligible.
  - 6. Client's work hours conflicted with time available to see advocate.
  - 7. Client moved into transitional housing/teen living center (receives services through those programs).
  - 8. Unable to locate client; client moved and left no forwarding address.
  - 9. Client received services for three years.
  - 10. Closed case transferred to another program.

<sup>21</sup> Given that the data stem from a multiple response question, the total "N" exceeds the number of case closures, and the total percentages add up to over 100.0%.

Minor Grantees living in counties that operate the Teen Parent Program are expected to participate therein.